

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/712,778		1/13/2003	Hendrik Willem Meijer	U 014884-0	7412	
140	7590	07/18/2006		EXAMINER		
LADAS &		ET	A, PHI DIEU TRAN			
26 WEST 61 NEW YORK		_ -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			3637		
				DATE MAILED: 07/18/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/712,778	MEIJER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phi D. A	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the second content	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mut g date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejection of the final repropria of the fee. The appropria	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following sichever is later. In on. FILED WITHIN ate extension fee iate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	r than three months after the mailing da bliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	te of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the filed within two months.	even if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	will not be entered, or b) wi		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		-	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitations of "the wall elements abut each other" does not clarify and put the application is better form for appeal as the limitations were not previously presented in claims rejected by the reference Cooper or Blick et al.